

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,795	09/13/2000	Ralph Stankowski	MCA-470	7343
7 :	590 07/03/2002			
Timothy J. King Esq. Mykrolis Corporation 129 Concord Road			EXAMINER , POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
			1724	/3
DATE MAILE		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No. 09/660, 795 Examiner	Applicant(s) Stankow	iski et al.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	Popovi	cs 1724				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	1	•	ddress —			
P ried for Reply	1					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE (MREE	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replict In NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be consi n the mailing date of this communion become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. ation. ; 133).			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/14/02			-			
☐ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) -2		is/are pending in the app	lication.			
☐ Claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) 5-9		is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
□ Claim(s)	-		or election			
Application Papers		requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
*Certified copies not received:	•					
Attachment(s)			 •			
Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	2+3 Day	tervi w Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		-	ation DTO 450			
		☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152 ☐ Oth r				
Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-948	⊔ O i	JI (
Office Action Summary						

Application/Control Number: 09/660,795

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Applicants have identified "Claims 5-8 of elected claims 5-11 [as] read[ing] upon the elected Slurry Species and claims 5-11 of elected claims 5-11 [as] read[ing] upon the elected Flowpath/Cartridge Species." It is noted that claims 5-6 are generic with respect to the elected slurry species.

Accordingly, claims 5-8 corresponding to the elected Slurry Species (i.e., "sub species") shall be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "said cartridge being free of an open void volume upstream of said depth filter" appears in claim 5. Applicants are referred to Figure 1 of their invention. It is submitted that the volume through which arrows 31 pass, constitutes "an open void volume upstream of said depth filter." Accordingly, it is unclear what Applicants intend by the recitation in question. Moreover, it is unclear what Applicants intend by the recitations "filtration cartridge," "ceriabased slurry," "slurry" and "depth filter" in the context used. It is unclear what minimal components make up the specified "filtration cartridge." Does the "conduit" constitute a part of

Art Unit: 1724

the "filtration cartridge?" It is unclear what Applicants intend by the recitation "recovering a filtered slurry from said cartridge," or how this is accomplished. To that end, it is unclear which specific manipulative steps Applicants regard as their invention. Will the material recovered be a "slurry" at the point/time of recovery? The nature of the separation is unclear.

3. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the passing of fluid (a slurry) through an inlet and (a filtrate or slurry through?) an outlet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Price (US 3,266,628).

Application/Control Number: 09/660,795 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Referring to Fig. 1, the unlabeled downward conduit next to the inlet is seen to constitute the claimed "conduit."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7- 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Price (US 3,266,628) and Megesi (US 3,043,432).

Price discloses a filter to filter fluids and gases as broadly disclosed. Claim 7 differs from Price by specifying the filtration of a photoresist chemical. Megesi, in a similar apparatus, discloses the use of a filter to purify spent photographic solutions. In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the filter of Price, to purify a spent photographic solution, such as photoresist, in order purify it.

Art Unit: 1724

Allowable Subject Matter

9. The purification of a "ceria-based slurry" would be allowable if that specific slurry was incorporated into claim 5, and if the claim was re-written to overcome the numerous 112 rejections set forth above. Applicants are encouraged to amend claim 5 to clearly specify each manipulative step, and requested that each step appear as a separate indentation. Applicants are required to submit a "clean copy" of all elected claims with any response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Popovics whose telephone number is (703) 308-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from Noon until Six (EST).

RJP

PRIMARY EXAMINER